



The Universities of Bangor, Exeter and Oxford

Mindfulness-based Interventions Teaching Assessment Criteria

(MBI:TAC)

Summary

This summary version should be used in conjunction with the MBI:TAC manual to assess the competence and adherence of mindfulness-based programme teaching.

Rebecca S. Crane*, Judith G. Soulsby, Willem Kuyken, J. Mark, G. Williams, Catrin Eames

and

**Trish Bartley, Lucinder Cooper, Alison Evans, Melanie J.V. Fennell,
Eluned Gold, Jody Mardula and Sarah Silverton**

**First developed 2012
Version 2017**

*Address for correspondence:

Rebecca Crane

Centre for Mindfulness Research and Practice

School of Psychology

Brigantia Building

Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2AS

Email: r.crane@bangor.ac.uk

Introduction

The purpose of the Mindfulness-based Interventions Teaching Assessment Criteria (MBI:TAC) is to enable the assessment of teacher adherence and competence when delivering Mindfulness-based Interventions (MBIs). The MBI:TAC has been developed since 2008 in teaching, training and research contexts primarily to assess Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) teaching, but it has also been used for a range of other MBIs derived from these programmes.

This summary version is intended for assessors who have been trained in the use of the MBI:TAC and should be used in conjunction with the full MBI:TAC manual. It is essential that assessors undertake the earlier training and developmental steps outlined in the manual before using the MBI:TAC to assess competence in others.

This summary of the MBI:TAC includes a high-level overview of the criteria as well as concise descriptors for each of the six domains. The MBI:TAC's six domains of competence and six levels of competence are summarised below, and score sheets for each can be found at the end of this document.

The six domains of competence within the MBI:TAC

Domain 1: Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum

Domain 2: Relational skills (

Domain 3: Embodiment of mindfulness

Domain 4: Guiding mindfulness practices

Domain 5: Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching

Domain 6: Holding the group learning environment

Levels of Competence and Adherence in MBI:TAC

(adapted from the Dreyfus Scale of Competence, 1986)

Competence band	Generic definition of overall competence level	Numerical band
Incompetent <i>The teaching demonstrates an absence of key features, highly inappropriate performance, or behaviour that is harmful.</i>	Key features are not demonstrated. The teacher makes consistent errors and displays poor and unacceptable teaching, leading to likely or actual negative therapeutic consequences. There is no real evidence that the teacher has grasped the fundamentals of the MBI teaching process.	1
Beginner <i>The teaching demonstrates basic building blocks of MBI competence in at least one feature.</i>	At least one key feature in each domain is evident at competent level, but there are significant levels of inconsistency across all other key features. Across other key features, there is substantive scope for development, overall lack of consistency and many areas that require development to be considered adequate teaching. The teacher is beginning to develop some basic building blocks of MBI competence.	2
Advanced Beginner <i>The teaching demonstrates evidence of competence in two key features in each domain. The participant's emotional and physical safety is well taken care of.</i>	At least two key features are evident at a competent level in each domain, but there are one or more major problems in others. The teaching has scope for greater levels of consistency in competence across key features and domains. The teaching at a very basic level would be considered 'fit for practice.'	3
Competent <i>Teaching is competent, with some problems and/or inconsistencies</i>	All key features are mostly present in all domains at a competent level with possibly some good features, but some inconsistencies are present. The teacher demonstrates a workable level of competence and they are clearly 'fit for practice.'	4
Proficient <i>Sustained competence demonstrated with few or minor problems and/or inconsistencies.</i>	All key features are present in all domains, with very few and very minor inconsistencies, and there is evidence of good ability and skill. The teacher is able consistently to apply these skills over the range of aspects to MBI teaching.	5
Advanced <i>Excellent teaching practice, or very good even in the face of participant difficulties</i>	All key features are present with evidence of considerable ability. The teaching is particularly inspirational, fluent and excellent. The teacher no longer uses rules, guidelines, or maxims. S/he has deep tacit understanding of the issues and is able to work in an original and flexible manner. The skills are demonstrated even in the face of difficulties (e.g. challenges from the group).	6

Training pathway to learn to use the MBI:TAC

1. Familiarisation with the MBI:TAC

- *Learning to use the MBI:TAC as a personal, reflective support during teacher training. This might include dialogue with mindfulness supervisor/trainer to explore learning needs and teaching strengths.*
- Fulfilling GPG for MBI teachers (mindfulnessteachersuk.org.uk)

2. Assessment of own teaching with the MBI:TAC

- *Using the MBI:TAC to receive feedback/be assessed on your teaching.*
- Developmental and then summative assessment of own teaching with the MBI:TAC by peers/supervisor/trainer

2a. Developing personal teaching practice

- *To progress to the next level assumes progression from being a competent MBI teacher to proficient/advanced, and/or becoming a mindfulness supervisor, and/or becoming a teacher trainer. Using the MBI:TAC to assess others' teaching needs to be in areas of work where the assessor is proficient him/herself, and normally the assessor has knowledge and experience of the population and context.*
- Take a 2-day MBI:TAC training workshop during this stage (or may be delivered via another format)

3. Assessing others with the MBI:TAC

- *Learning to reliably use the tool to assess mindfulness-based teaching competence and adherence.*
- Take training with MBI:TAC developers to build skills in accurately applying domain/level descriptors
- Benchmark assessments against centralised assessments on teaching practice until reliability is achieved
- Take supervision with an experienced MBI:TAC assessor

Domain 1: Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum

Overview: This domain examines how well the teacher adequately addresses and covers the curriculum content of the session. This involves creating a skilful balance between the needs of the individual, the group and the requirements of teaching the course. In addition, the teacher is well organised with relevant course materials, has teaching aids readily available, and the room has been appropriately prepared for the group. The session is both well 'time managed' in relation to the curriculum and well paced with a sense of spaciousness, steadiness and lack of time pressure. Digressions are steered back into the session curriculum with tact and ease.

Five key features need to be considered when assessing this domain:

1. *Adherence to the form of the programme and coverage of themes and curriculum content*
2. *Responsiveness and flexibility in adhering to the session curriculum*
3. *Appropriateness of the themes and content (taking into account the stage of the programme and experience of the participants)*
4. *Level of organisation of the teacher, room and materials*
5. *The degree to which the session flows and is appropriately paced*

N.B.

- i. Assessors must themselves have considerable direct teaching experience of the particular programme that is under review.
- ii. Assessor requires a written guide to the particular course curriculum that is being followed. If any adaptations to the curriculum have been made, the rationale for these must be clear to the assessor.

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may arise.

To make ratings, use the levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 1: Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	MBI session curriculum not covered or highly inappropriate. No attempt was made to structure session time. Session seemed aimless, overly rigid, or harmful.
Beginner	<p>At least one of the five key features is present at a level that would be desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but significant levels of inconsistency exist across all key features.</p> <p>Examples include: adequate coverage of the session theme but to support participants' learning, the teaching needs to be more responsive to what is happening in the class, matched to the group/level and paced to be effective; curriculum has some relevant content, but there are significant omissions or inappropriate additions; sessions consistently rigid or very unstructured; themes consistently inappropriate for stage in course; teacher disorganised; and/or has significant problems with pacing or time management needs improvement (e.g., too slowly paced, too rapidly paced, time boundaries not adhered to).</p>
Advanced Beginner	<p>At least two of the five key features are present at a competent level, but difficulty and/or inconsistency is clearly evident in others.</p> <p>Examples include: some skill is evidenced in maintaining the form of the programme or covering the curriculum shown, but significant curriculum element missing or a curriculum element which is not part of session is introduced without clear rationale; at times rigid or overly unstructured; content and curriculum themes mostly appropriate but with some problems (i.e., teacher at times introducing an appropriate theme but at a suboptimum stage in the course); teacher sometimes disorganised; problems with pacing or time management at times (e.g. too slowly paced, too rapidly paced, time boundaries not adhered to).</p>
Competent	<p>All key features present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: appropriate curriculum reasonably well covered; teacher used time effectively by tactfully limiting peripheral and unproductive discussion and/or by pacing the session appropriately for the group; content of curriculum themes largely appropriate; and teacher mostly well organised.</p>
Proficient	<p>All key features consistently present.</p> <p>Examples include: appropriate curriculum and form of programme clearly evidenced, well covered and adhered to with ease and spaciousness; evidence of balanced allocation of time; teacher maintained appropriate control over flow of dialogue and pacing of session; content and themes highly appropriate; appropriate level of flexibility in making curriculum choices; good level of organisation.</p>
Advanced	<p>All key features present to a high skill level.</p> <p>Examples include: excellent coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum; particularly high degree of flexibility, responsiveness, spaciousness and appropriate pacing while at the same time thoroughly addressing the key curriculum themes for the session. Difficult for reviewer to feed back any 'learning needs.'</p>

Domain 2: Relational skills

Overview: Mindfulness-based teaching is highly relational in that the practices themselves help us develop a new relationship both with ourselves and our experience. The qualities that the teacher brings to participants and the teaching process mirror the qualities that participants are learning to bring to themselves. Mindfulness is the awareness that emerges through paying attention to experience in a particular way: on purpose (the teacher is deliberate and focused when relating to participants in the sessions); in the present moment (the teacher has the intention to be whole heartedly present with participants); and non-judgmentally (the teacher brings a spirit of interest, deep respect and acceptance to participants) (Kabat-Zinn, 1990).

Five key features need to be considered in assessing this domain:

1. *Authenticity and potency* – relating in a way which seems genuine, honest and confident
2. *Connection and acceptance* – actively attending to and connecting with participants and their present moment experience and conveying back an accurate and empathic understanding of this
3. *Compassion and warmth* – conveying a deep awareness, sensitivity, appreciation and openness to participants' experience
4. *Curiosity and respect* – conveying genuine interest in each participant and his/her experience while respecting each participants' vulnerabilities, boundaries and need for privacy
5. *Mutuality* – engaging with the participants in a mutual and collaborative working relationship

N.B.

- i. The relational aspect to mindfulness teaching is particularly related to **Domain 3** (Embodiment of mindfulness).
- ii. The intention in Domain 2 is to encompass those parts of the process that rely on the interpersonal connection between individual participants and teacher.

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may apply.

To make ratings, use the levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 2: Relational skills (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	<p>Teacher has poor relational and interpersonal skills.</p> <p>Examples include: consistently ‘missing the point’ of what participants are communicating; aspects of the interpersonal process are destructive.</p>
Beginner	<p>At least one of the five key features is present at a level desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but there are significant levels of inconsistency across all key features. At times, the relational process is unsafe or harmful for participants.</p> <p>Examples include: acceptance of participants not demonstrated; lack of attuned attention; lack of sensitivity to participant vulnerability; teacher putting him/herself outside the process.</p>
Advanced Beginner	<p>At least two of the five key features are present at a competent level, but difficulty and/or inconsistency is clearly evident in others. Participants’ safety is not compromised and there are no aspects of the relational process that are destructive to participants.</p> <p>Example includes: teacher’s style at times impedes his/her ability to establish a relationship that enables engaged exploration (i.e. lack of connection, responsiveness, curiosity not conveyed, hesitant style, intellectualisation, and judgemental tone).</p>
Competent	<p>All key features are present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: effective working relationships are generally formed with participants; teacher’s relational style mostly facilitates participants to feel at ease, accepted and appreciated; teacher is confidently attentive to and interested in participants; teacher appropriately brings him/herself into the learning process (mutuality).</p>
Proficient	<p>All key features are consistently present with few minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher demonstrates very good relational skills and is strongly attuned to participants; the interactions are sensitive, responsive and respectful; excellent collaborative, mutual working relationships are formed; participants’ boundaries and vulnerabilities are sensitively respected; teacher creates a relational process that allows participants to engage deeply with their learning.</p>
Advanced	<p>All key features present to a high skill level.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher demonstrates excellent relational effectiveness with a keen grasp of the participants’ world; teacher consistently shows good levels of collaboration, compassion, openness, warmth, acceptance and responsiveness to participants. Difficult for reviewer to feed back any ‘learning needs.’</p>

Domain 3: Embodiment of mindfulness

Overview: The teacher inhabits the practice of mindfulness. This is particularly conveyed through the teacher's body in terms of his/her physical and non-verbal expression. Embodiment of mindfulness involves the teacher sustaining connection and responsiveness to moment-by-moment arising (within self, within individuals and within the group) and bringing the core attitudinal foundations of mindfulness practice to all of this. These attitudes are non-judging, patience, beginner's mind, trust, non-striving, acceptance, and letting go (Kabat-Zinn, 1990).

Five key features need to be considered in assessing this domain:

1. *Present moment focus – expressed through behaviour and non-verbal communication*
2. *Present moment responsiveness – working with the emergent moment with spaciousness and ease*
3. *Steadiness and vitality – simultaneously conveying calm, ease, non-reactivity and alertness*
4. *Allowing – the teacher's behaviour is non-judging, patient, trusting, accepting and non-striving*
5. *Natural presence of the teacher – the teacher behaviour is authentic to their own intrinsic mode of operating*

N.B.

- i. Assessment of present moment awareness of and responsiveness to coverage and pacing of **the teaching process** is assessed in **Domain 1** (Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum), and to **the group process** is assessed in **Domain 6** (Holding the group learning environment).
- ii. The qualities of mindfulness are conveyed throughout the whole teaching process. This domain intends to capture how these qualities are conveyed 'implicitly' through the teachers' non-verbal presence and how they hold themselves within the teaching process.

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may apply.

To make ratings use the levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 3: Embodiment of mindfulness (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	<p>Embodiment of mindfulness is not conveyed.</p> <p>Examples include: absence of present moment focus/responsiveness. Attitudinal qualities of mindfulness are not in evidence and those that are conveyed have the potential for harm.</p>
Beginner	<p>At least one of the five key features is present at a level that would be desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but significant levels of inconsistency exist across all key features.</p> <p>Examples include: lack of consistent present moment focus/responsiveness; teacher not calm, at ease and alert; attitudinal qualities often not clearly in evidence; teacher's manner conveys restlessness and unease; teacher does not seem 'at home' in themselves or in the space.</p>
Advanced Beginner	<p>At least two of the five key features are present at a competent level, but difficulty and/or inconsistency is clearly evident in others; participants' safety is not compromised; no aspects of the embodied process is destructive to participants.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher evidences embodiment of several principles of mindfulness practice within the teaching process, but there is a lack of consistency (i.e. teacher demonstrates some skilful present moment internal and external connectedness but this is not sustained throughout); the teacher might seem 'steady' but there is a lack of vitality in the space or vice versa; teacher's bodily expression at times conveys qualities that are different from mindfulness (e.g. a sense of hurry, agitation and/or striving).</p>
Competent	<p>All key features present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher generally demonstrates an ability to communicate the attitudinal qualities of mindfulness practice through his/her bodily presence and is mostly present moment focused/responsive; teacher mostly seems natural and at ease.</p>
Proficient	<p>All key features consistently present with a good level of skill.</p> <p>Examples include: sustained levels of present moment focus through the teaching and demonstration of the range of attitudinal qualities of mindfulness throughout with very minor inconsistencies; the bodily expression of the teacher implicitly conveys the qualities of mindfulness; teacher is natural and at ease; teacher is authentic both to themselves and to the qualities of mindfulness.</p>
Advanced	<p>All key features present to a high-skill level.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher demonstrates exceptionally high levels of awareness of and responsiveness to the present moment throughout the teaching process; teacher has high levels of internal and external connectedness; teacher has attitudinal qualities of mindfulness present in a particularly inspiring way; teacher is highly authentic both to him/herself and to the qualities of mindfulness. Difficult for reviewer to find further 'learning needs' to feedback.</p>

Domain 4: Guiding mindfulness practices

Overview: The teacher offers guidance that describes accurately what the participant is being invited to do in the practice, and includes all the elements required in that practice. The teacher's guidance enables participants to relate skilfully to mind wandering (seeing this as a natural mind process, working gently but firmly to cultivate the skill to recognise when the mind has wandered and to bring the attention back). In addition, his/her guidance suggests the attitudes to bring to oneself and one's experience throughout the practice. The practices offer balanced spaciousness with precision. Skilful use of language is key to conveying all this.

Three key features need to be considered in assessing this domain:

1. *Language is clear, precise, accurate and accessible while conveying spaciousness.*
2. *The teacher guides the practice in a way that makes the key learning for each practice available to participants (see checklists for each practice in the manual).*
3. *The particular elements to consider when guiding each practice are appropriately present (see checklists for each practice in the manual).*

N.B.

- i. The embodiment of mindfulness is a crucial underpinning to practice guidance, and should be assessed under **Domain 3** (Embodiment of mindfulness). The way in which mindfulness is conveyed implicitly through the body qualities of the teacher is also assessed in Domain 3 – embodiment. The language used to convey the qualities of mindfulness, however, is assessed here.
- ii. This is the only domain that covers a particular 'curriculum element' and is thus structured differently. The key features link to specific learning intentions, and the guiding considerations for the each of the core practices are detailed here in the manual. Guidance notes on feature 1 are offered under 'language' below. Guidance notes for features 2 and 3 are tailored to specific meditations and are given below with a box for each practice on feature 3 (key learning specific to the practice) and feature 3 (elements to consider in guiding the practice). For these reasons the detail of this domain is included in the summary MBI:TAC.

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may apply.

To make ratings, use the levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 4: Guiding mindfulness practices (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	Guidance is inaccurate and unclear. Key feature(s) of guiding practices are absent. The guidance offers an unsafe holding atmosphere.
Beginner	At least one of the three key features is present at a level that would be desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but significant levels of inconsistency exist across all key features. Examples include: teacher attempts to offer guidance in an appropriate way, but significant errors, gaps and inconsistencies exist; language is imprecise and does not invite spaciousness; working with mind wandering is not skilfully guided (i.e., it is seen as a problem).
Advanced Beginner	At least two of the three key features are present at a competent level, but difficulty and/or inconsistency is clearly evident in others. Adequate care is taken of participants' emotional and physical safety. Examples include: some guidance offered clearly, accurately and appropriately but language conveys a sense of striving for results; key learning from practice inconsistently available to participants; elements to consider when guiding each practice are inconsistently adhered to.
Competent	All key features present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies. Examples include: guidance is generally clear and accurate, but slight lack of precision; sense of spaciousness not conveyed and/or language not always invitational; key learning from practice mostly available to participants; elements to consider when guiding each practice are mostly adhered to.
Proficient	All key features consistently present with a good level of skill. Examples include: practice guidance is good, conveying both precision and spaciousness; elements to consider in guiding are clearly integrated; key learning for practice is available to participants through the guidance.
Advanced	All key features present to a high skill level. Examples include: exceptionally skilful guidance with all features consistently present with very good level of skill. Creative and inspiring guidance on working with physical and emotional 'edges' and boundaries. Difficult for reviewer to find further 'learning needs' to feedback.

Detailed explanation of the five key features of Domain 4

Guiding of mindfulness practices offers an opportunity to integrate teaching on the cultivation of mindfulness, and space for participants to experience and experiment with the process for themselves. Given the subtlety of the messages being conveyed and the paradox inherent within these, great delicateness and sensitivity is needed when guiding. The teacher should demonstrate familiarity with the key intentions of mindfulness practice generally and also the specific intentions of each practice (see below for summary of these).

Key feature 1: Language is clear, precise, accurate and accessible while conveying spaciousness

General points:

- Accessibility, i.e. using everyday/ordinary language and avoiding mindfulness jargon/esoteric language.
- Using words belonging to different senses to support the range of experiencing sensations, such as feeling, seeing or hearing them (e.g. use sense words such as 'feeling', 'in the mind's eye', 'listening to the messages from'; some words are general across senses, e.g. 'noticing', 'experiencing', 'sensing').

Within key feature 1 (language guidance) there are three sub-areas relating to guidance, including:

1. Guidance on where to place attention (further detail can be found within the various practices that are listed from page 31)

The teacher's guidance regarding where to place attention should be as accurate and precise as possible, i.e. clear articulation of what the participant is specifically being invited to do with their attention.

2. Guidance on working with mind wandering

The teacher's guidance should make it clear that mind wandering is a natural part of the process; i.e. our intention is not to keep the attention solely pointedly on the breath, for example, but to become aware of the activities of our mind as we repeatedly invite the attention back to one particular place. So our 'job' is not to stop the mind wandering, but to work in a particular way when we become aware that it has wandered. The teacher should:

- acknowledge that the attention has wandered
- bring attention back to the object of awareness (with an emphasis on *kindness* and gentleness but also with firmness)
- do this again and again (with ease and non-judgement)

- offer spaces of silence for participants to practice independently with periodical reminders. The length of silence can increase with the experience of the group.

3. Guidance on the attitudinal qualities to cultivate. It is important to remember that the language used to convey the qualities of mindfulness is assessed here. The way in which mindfulness is conveyed implicitly through the body qualities of the teacher, however, is assessed in Domain 3 (embodiment).

When reviewing the teaching be attentive to the presence/absence within practice guidance of:

- Guidance on the spirit to bring to the practice. Inviting gentleness, lightness of touch, curiosity about the experiences unfolding; balancing gentleness with a firmness of intention; taking care of self; letting go of judgement and self-criticism.
- Encouraging non-striving by reminding participants about letting go of needing/wanting to 'do' anything, e.g. 'allowing experience to be as it is'; 'seeing if the breath breathes itself'; and/or simply bringing awareness to the experience of this.'
- Avoiding language that might feed into a sense of striving, e.g. words such as 'trying,' 'working,' 'seeing if you can...' can be unhelpful.
- Spaciousness, i.e. balancing silence with guidance, and using language economically.
- Using present participles (*attending*, *bringing* awareness,' etc.) to convey a feeling of guiding/inviting rather than ordering, to reduce resistance.
- Sometimes using 'the' rather than 'your' (e.g. 'the breath' – to encourage participants to be less identified with the body).

Guidance for Key features 2 and 3:

Key feature 2: the teacher guides the practice in a way which makes the key learning for each practice available to participants

Key feature 3: the particular elements to consider when guiding each practice are appropriately present

Guidance on these two key features are now offered below in relation to each meditation practice.

Raisin practice

Raisin practice – making key learning available through guidance (Key feature 2):

- Experiencing the difference between mindful awareness and automatic pilot
- Experiencing how bringing attention to experience can reveal new aspects to it and can transform our experience of it
- The present is the only time we have to know anything
- Experiencing how the mind wanders

Raisin practice – elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 3):

- Hygiene considerations –use a spoon, clean bowl and new box of raisins. Pour out raisins in front of participants, kitchen paper to hand.
- Offer the option not to eat raisin, but to explore with other senses.
- Choose to offer participants just one raisin, or two or three. There is the potential to guide the first one interactively as a group by inviting them to call out ‘feeling’ words, which can give a flavour of what is being asked for; with the next one, you can ask them to eat it in silence with you guiding. The next one can be in silence completely with no guidance (if only one, ask them to eat in silence with you guiding).
- Invite participants to let go of knowing that this is a raisin and instead to see it ‘fresh’ as a child first encounters experience.
- Emphasise the attitudes of curiosity, interest and exploration.
- In the inquiry there are several areas that can be explored with participants:
 - encourage lots of direct noticing of the sensations of the experience from all senses
 - elicit observations about how it might have felt different from their usual experience of eating a raisin
 - help the group to gather observations about the nature of our minds, the ways we generally pay attention and how this relates to our well-being. In particular the following themes may emerge in the group dialogue:
 - (a) If we are on autopilot, we cannot see our moods begin to change or go down, or notice stress rising.
 - (b) The raisin practice can help us realise that there are other things to be seen, that there is more to life than our preconceptions, deductions, opinions and theories; that slowing down even the most routine activities might transform them; and that paying attention to our experience in this ‘curious’, open way may show us aspects of our experience that we had not seen before; the experience itself is different.
 - (c) The mind is always making associations from present-moment experience to memories, deeper level understanding, stories, etc., but we are not usually aware of where it is taking us. Mostly we do not *choose* where our mind goes and we see how difficult mind states might easily take hold when we are unawares, because analysing the past and worrying about the future can be ‘second nature’ to us.
 - (d) Differences exist between eating this way and usual attitudes to eating; impulses around food are often unconscious, powerful and uncontrolled.

Body Scan

Body scan – make key learning available through guidance (Key feature 2), including:

- Direct experiential knowing of physical sensations
- Learning to be intentional about how we pay attention
- Relating skilfully to mind wandering when it occurs (acknowledging and bringing back)
- Guidance about how to handle difficulties (sleepiness, discomfort, etc.) by taking care of self (making personal choices) and not seeing difficulties as a problem
- Guidance on allowing things to be as they are – no goals to be achieved, no special state, no right way for the body to feel
- Guidance to direct breath through/to different parts of the body and directing attention to the experience of this
- Guidance on beginning to *notice* and *relate differently* to our sensations and mental states, including boredom, irritation, impulses, etc.

Body scan – elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 3), including:

- Start and end by bringing attention to the whole body.
- Pay particular attention to detail of body sensations; give examples of words describing sensations such as warm, cold, tingling, numbness, etc.
- Give participants the option to come back to the breath at any time to stabilise their attention and remind them of this during the practice.
- Allow the absence of particular feelings or sensations to be just as important as their presence.
- Instruct participants to let go of the last body region before moving awareness to the next.
- Be precise in guiding where and how participants to place attention.
- Vary instructions between both narrow angle, detailed awareness of a small part of the body, and also wider angle awareness of a larger area of the body such as the trunk, or the whole body.
- Periodically through the practice offer guidance on dealing with distraction.
- Offer guidance that invites participants to move into a direct ‘being with’ body sensations rather than looking at them from a distance.
- Offer skilful guidance of awareness of breath within the body scan.
- Balance guidance that gives the flavour of being with, allowing and accepting, alongside that which gives a flavour of exploration, curiosity, aliveness and adventure.

Sitting meditation

Sitting meditation – make key learning available through guidance (Key feature 2):

- Anchoring to the present moment through body sensations
- Dealing skilfully with mind-wandering
- Learning gentleness, encouraging curiosity, learning acceptance
- Noticing a mindful ‘feel of things’ (pleasant/unpleasant/neutral)
- Noticing aversion
- Learning to consciously widen and narrow the focus of attention
- Mindfulness of the natural flux of experience
- Cultivating being fully with experience AND having an observer stance simultaneously
- Learning to receive experience as it is, distinct from mental labels, stories about it, etc.
- Learning to see recurring patterns in the mind and how they develop, play out, etc.
- Seeing more deeply into the nature of human experience.

Sitting meditation – elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 3):

Posture...

Give practical information on helpful posture using a chair, stool, cushion. Support the transition from ‘doing’ into ‘being’ mode of mind. A clear focus on posture at the beginning of the practice helps to establish the intention of the practice and to facilitate the transition into this period of deliberate cultivation of ‘being mode.’

Breath...

- Anchoring in the present moment (e.g. reconnecting with a specific aspect of experience in the here and now)
- Offering guidance on where in the body to pay attention to breath sensations
- Avoiding of language that encourages thinking about the breath rather than being directly in connection with it

Body sensations...

- Transition from breath - expand the attention around the sensations of breathing to an awareness of sensations in the body as a whole
- Offering explicit guidance about how/where to place attention
- Offering clear guidance on options for working with discomfort/pain/intensity that arise from either physical or emotional origins

Sounds...

- Receiving sounds as they come and go; listening to sounds as sounds (e.g. noticing loudness, tone, length, etc.); recognising sounds as events in the mind; and noticing layers of meaning added to the direct experience of sounds

Thoughts and emotions...

- Relating to thoughts similarly to how we relate to sounds –arising and passing away
- Seeing recurring patterns and how these develop and play out within the mind
- Using metaphors to help point towards what is being invited here
- Acknowledging challenge (not setting up a specific idea of how we ‘should’ see thoughts)
- Using the breath as an anchor when the mind becomes unsettled
- Expanding attention to include emotions, naming these, seeing arising as body sensations

Mindfulness of the full range of experience (i.e. choiceless awareness)...

- Bringing an open attention to whatever is arising/predominant moment by moment in the breath, body, thoughts, sounds, emotions, etc.
- Noticing recurring patterns in the body and mind
- Coming back to the breath as an anchor as often as is needed

The three step breathing space (3SBS) (core practice in MBCT/often included in other MBIs)

Three step breathing space – making key learning available through guidance (Key feature 2):

The learning is encapsulated within the three steps of the practice. Each step needs to be clearly conveyed. Prepare by stepping out of automatic pilot, then commence the three steps:

Step 1. Awareness – recognising and acknowledging all of one’s current experience (thoughts, emotions, sensations).

Step 2. Gathering – bringing the attention to the sensations of the breath in a particular place in the body.

Step 3. Expanding the awareness into the body as a whole using the particular sensations of the breath as an anchor, while opening to the range of experience being perceived.

The three step breathing space – elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 3):

Guidance on posture – communicate the effect of coming to an upright and dignified posture. If this is not possible (e.g. when using the additional 3SBS in difficult situations), then start with encouraging participants that simply *becoming aware* of their posture is helpful.

Be precise in communicating the 3 steps of the practice during guidance.

N.B.

The 3SBS and other practices need to be accompanied by a teaching process that supports participants to practise at home and integrate the process into their everyday lives. This aspect of the teaching is rated in **Domain 5** (Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching). Examples of how this needs to be attended to in relation to the 3SBS are cited below:

- ***Preparing participants to integrate this into their day*** – encourage participants to anchor the practice to a specific activity in their day
- *It is useful to guide the practice and then afterwards to explain about the three parts, perhaps using the flip chart*
- ***Encouraging participants to use the 3SBS as a natural first step*** (e.g., whenever things feel difficult or there is confusion; using the 3SBS during class when strong emotions have been explored, or there is another need to re-ground in present moment experience, can be a good reminder of this)
- ***Developing clarity regarding the application of the 3SBS throughout the eight weeks*** (see Segal et al., 2013 for details)

Mindful movement

Mindful movement elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 2):

- Building on the foundation of the body scan to learn how we can bring awareness to and directly inhabit bodily experience/sensation
- Experiencing awareness of the body in motion, as it often is in life
- Relating to the body with friendliness
- Learning that movements and postures offer an embodiment of life experiences and processes
- Seeing habitual tendencies play out
- Working with physical boundaries/intensity in a way that parallels working with emotional experience; experiencing how physical movement can change emotional experience
- Learning and experiencing working with present moment acceptance, including of our physical limitations, and learning to relate in new ways to pain

Mindful movement – elements to consider in guiding (Key feature 3):

Ensuring that participants engage in the practices in ways that are safe and respectful to their body is a major consideration in guiding movement practices, including...

- Giving clear and precise guidance on ways of working with physical boundaries at the beginning of the practice
- Interspersing the practice with reminders about working within safe limits for their body in this moment
- Offering guidance in particular on:
 - Potential adaptations for postures as they are taught
 - Reminders to hold postures for the amount of time that is right for each participant regardless of how long the teacher or others hold a posture
 - Reminders that it is OK not to do a posture and either to do something different, or to sit/lie and possibly to visualise the body doing the posture
- Always encouraging participants to err on the side of caution
- Always encouraging participants to listen to the wisdom of their own body and allow this to override any guidance you may be giving
- Reminding participants not to be competitive with themselves or others

Breath guidance...

Helpful guidance regarding the breath, including...

- Generally, guiding participants to breathe in as they form the intention to move and then to move with the out breath
- Encouraging participants to breath fully and freely in whatever way feels most natural as they move
- Guidance on relaxing into postures and breathing with or into regions of greatest intensity

Ensuring that guidance is given in ways that invite detailed awareness of moment-by-moment experience, including...

- Giving plenty of space within the practice:
 - Dwelling in the postures long enough to let go into them
 - Resting between postures to enable the effects of the movement to be sensed
- Encouraging participants to explore and discover the creative edge between exploring / investigating / discovering, and accepting / letting be / being with.

Domain 5: Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching

Overview: This domain assesses the skill of conveying course themes interactively to participants. These are at times explicitly drawn out and underlined by the teacher and at other times emerge implicitly. The domain includes inquiry, group dialogue, use of stories and poems, facilitating group exercises, orienting participants to session/course themes, and didactic teaching. A large part of each session is taken up by interactive teaching processes – reviewing/inquiring into the experience of mindfulness practices during the session and at home, drawing out experience during and after group exercises, and offering didactic teaching in an interactive and participatory manner. This exploratory way of approaching experience illuminates the habitual tendencies and patterns of the human mind and offers a training in a way of investigating and working with experience beyond the programme. Participants’ difficulties (e.g., avoidance, distress, emotional reactivity) in sessions are crucial opportunities to convey course themes, and the way the teacher works in these moments should be given weight in assessing overall, and in this domain in particular.

Four key features need to be considered in assessing this domain:

1. *Experiential focus – supporting participants to notice and describe the different elements of direct experience and their interaction with each other; teaching themes are consistently linked to this direct experience.*
2. *Moving around the layers within the inquiry process (direct experience, reflection on direct experience, and linking both to wider learning) with a predominant focus on process rather than content.*
3. *Conveying learning themes through skilful teaching using a range of teaching approaches, including: inquiry; didactic teaching; experiential and group exercises; stories; poems, and action methods etc.*
4. *Fluency, confidence and ease*

N.B.

- i. Although course themes are conveyed through all elements of the course, this domain only covers the teacher’s skill during the **inquiry process, didactic teaching and facilitation of group exercises** (i.e. not during guiding mindfulness practices).
- ii. This domain assesses the skill with which the teacher conveys the teaching themes – the presence of the themes themselves is rated in **Domain 1** (Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum).
- iii. Embodiment of mindfulness is a crucial underpinning to interactive teaching and should be assessed under **Domain 3** (Embodiment of mindfulness).
- iv. Inquiry relies on there being skilful relational connection between teacher and participant (**Domain 2** - Relational Skills) and skilful holding of the group (**Domain 6** - Holding the group Learning Environment). Assessing this domain requires delineation of these aspects to the process within these other domains.

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may apply.

To make ratings use the levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 5: Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	<p>Teaching process unclear and inconsistent with principles of mindfulness-based teaching.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher makes no attempt to elicit specific elements of direct experience; teacher not familiar with material; over reliance on didactic teaching, debate or persuasion; the inquiry process has the potential for causing harm.</p>
Beginner	<p>At least one of the four key features is present at a level that would be desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but there are significant levels of inconsistency across all key features.</p> <p>Examples include: highly inconsistent attempts to elicit specific aspects of direct experience; teaching process predominantly in one layer (i.e. teacher harvests direct experience, but does not weave it into course teaching themes); teaching process conveys some teaching themes, but considerable inconsistency; teaching style dull, un-engaging and lacking fluidity; teaching approach does not generally bring the teaching to life for participants.</p>
Advanced Beginner	<p>At least two of the four key features are at a competent level, but difficulty and/or inconsistency is clearly evident in other key features; teaching process is adequate but basic. Participants' safety is not compromised; no aspects of the inquiry process are destructive to participants.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher uses specific aspects of direct experience and integrates it with teaching themes, but there is a lack of fluidity and clarity in communicating themes; lack of familiarity with material; lack of relevancy of material to participants; teaching approaches convey some learning themes but at a basic level.</p>
Competent	<p>All key features present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: teaching process generally conveys key teaching themes in an understandable and accessible manner; teacher employs a range of teaching methods effectively to bring the learning themes to life; teacher reasonably fluid and familiar with material; there are some inconsistencies or gaps, e.g. teacher does not fully integrate direct experience of participants into the material.</p>
Proficient	<p>All key features consistently present.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher deeply familiar with the material; participants' direct experience thoroughly integrated into the teaching; teaching is 'alive' and learning is obviously taking place; creative range of teaching approaches are used to highlight learning themes in a compelling way.</p>
Advanced	<p>All key features present to a high skill level.</p> <p>Examples include: highly skilful and inspiring teaching skills precisely and sensitively draws out elements of experience; teacher works in an interactive and participatory way with the group to explore experience; range of key teaching themes conveyed in a highly accessible, engaging manner and connected with the personal direct experience of participants and the teacher when appropriate; teacher thoroughly 'at home' and familiar with the material from many angles; teaching feels 'alive' and highly engaging. Difficult for reviewer to find further 'learning needs' to feedback.</p>

Domain 6: Holding the group learning environment

Overview: The whole teaching process takes place within the context of a group, which if facilitated effectively becomes a vehicle for connecting participants with the universality of the processes being explored. The teacher creates a 'container' or learning environment that 'holds' the group and within which the teaching can effectively take place. The teacher works responsively with group process through bringing an appropriate leadership style to the teaching; through taking good care of group safety, trust and boundary issues; through employing a teaching style that takes account of the individual within the context of the group, and balances the needs of both; through using the group process to draw out universal learning themes; through working with and responding to group development processes by managing the various phases of group formation, development and ending. The teacher is able to 'tune into,' connect with, and respond appropriately to shifts and changes in group mood and characteristics.

Four key features need to be considered in assessing this domain:

- 1. Learning container – creating and sustaining a rich learning environment made safe through careful management of issues such as ground rules, boundaries and confidentiality, but which is simultaneously a place in which participants can explore and take risks.*
- 2. Group development – clear management of the group development processes over the eight weeks, particularly regarding the management of the group in terms of beginnings, endings and challenges.*
- 3. Personal to universal learning – the teacher consistently opens the learning process towards connection with the universality and common humanity of the processes under exploration.*
- 4. Leadership style – offers sustained 'holding,' demonstrates authority and potency without imposing the teacher's views on participants.*

The table overleaf is an example of issues that may apply.

To make ratings use levels of competency grid alongside the key features.

Domain 6: Holding the group learning environment (cont)

	Examples
Incompetent	Group learning environment is managed ineffectively and unsafely.
Beginner	<p>At least one of the four key features is present at a level that would be desirable for adequate MBI teaching, but there are significant levels of inconsistency across all key features.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher does not adequately attend to group boundaries and safety and lacks skill in managing group stages/process; leadership style ineffective or inappropriate; the movement from personal story to universal learning themes is absent.</p>
Advanced Beginner	<p>At least two of the four key features at a competent level but some difficulty and/or inconsistency clearly evident in others; participants' safety is not compromised; no aspect of the process is unsafe for participants.</p> <p>Examples include: some inconsistency in managing aspects of group process; communication style during inquiry may be overly focused on the individual to the exclusion of awareness of group process; awareness of normal group development processes may not be clearly integrated into teaching; leadership style appropriate but perhaps lacking in 'potency;' inconsistent 'holding' of the group environment.</p>
Competent	<p>All key features present to a good level of skill with some minor inconsistencies.</p> <p>Examples include: sensitivity and awareness of group process is generally integrated into the teaching; safety is handled appropriately; learning container is well managed by teacher, enabling participants to engage with the process; individual experience is held within the context of wider learning; leadership approach is clear and generally well held.</p>
Proficient	<p>All key features consistently present.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher demonstrates well-developed skills working with and facilitating the group learning environment; teacher skilfully includes the group in the field of his/her awareness, is connected with the issues arising in the group and responds to them appropriately; moves fluidly and respectfully from personal story to universal themes; leadership style is engaging, confident and potent.</p>
Advanced	<p>All key features present to a high skill level.</p> <p>Examples include: teacher demonstrates excellent group working skills as evidenced by a highly responsive and skilful way of working with group process while meeting the needs of individuals; highly engaging leadership style. Difficult for reviewer to find further 'learning needs' to feedback.</p>

References and Further Reading

- Blacker, M, Meleo-Meyer, F., Kabat-Zinn, J, Santorelli, S. (2015). *Stress Reduction Clinic Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) Curriculum Guide*. Center for Mindfulness in Medicine, Health Care and Society, University of Massachusetts Medical School.
- Blacker, M, Stahl, R. & Meleo-Meyer, F. (2006). *Clinical Applications of Mindfulness-Based Inquiry: Working with Stress, Change and Identity*. Handout from workshop at Center for Mindfulness, Massachusetts annual conference 'Integrating Mindfulness-Based Interventions into Medicine, Health Care, and Society.'
- Center for Mindfulness (CFM) (2004). *Mindfulness-Based Professional Education and Training Programs*, Centre for Mindfulness in Medicine, Health Care and Society, University of Massachusetts Medical School.
- Coelho, H. F., Canter, P. H., & Ernst, E. (2007). Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy: Evaluating current evidence and informing future research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 75, 1000-1005.
- Crane, R. S. (2009). *Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy: Distinctive Features*. London: Routledge.
- Crane, R.S., Kuyken, W., Hastings, R.P., Rothwell, N., & Williams, J.M.G. (2010). Training Teachers to Deliver Mindfulness-Based Interventions: Learning from the UK Experience. *Mindfulness*. 1(2), 74-86.
- Crane, R.S., Kuyken, W.J., Williams, M.G., Hastings, R.P., Cooper, L., & Fennell, M.J.V. (2012). Competence in Teaching Mindfulness-Based Courses: Concepts, Development and Assessment. *Mindfulness*. 3(1), 76-84.
- Crane, R.S., Eames, C., Kuyken, W., Hastings, R. P., Williams, J.M.G., Bartley, T., Evans, A., Silvertown, S., Soulsby, J.G., Surawy, C. (2013). Development and validation of the Mindfulness-Based Interventions – Teaching Assessment Criteria (MBI:TAC). *Assessment*, 20, 681-688, doi: 10.1177/1073191113490790.
- Crane, R.S.; & Kuyken, W. (2013). The Implementation of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy: Learning From the UK Health Service Experience. *Mindfulness*. 4(3), 246-254.
- Crane, R.S. (2014). Some Reflections on Being Good, On Not Being Good and On Just Being, *Mindfulness*. Advanced Online Publication: DOI 10.1007/s12671-014-0350-y.
- Crane, R.S., Stanley, S., Rooney, M., Bartley, T., Cooper, C., Mardula, J. (2014). Disciplined Improvisation: characteristics of inquiry in mindfulness-based teaching, *Mindfulness*. Advanced Online Publication: DOI 10.1007/s12671-014-0361-8.
- Drefus, H. L. & Drefus, S. E. (1986). *Mind Over Machine: The power of human intuition and experience in the age of computers*. New York: Free Press.
- Evans, A., Crane, R., Cooper, L., Mardula, J., Wilks, J., Surawy, C., Kenny, M. & Kuyken, W. (2014). A Framework for Supervision for Mindfulness-Based Teachers: a Space for Embodied Mutual Inquiry. *Mindfulness*, 6:292, DOI 10.1007/s12671-014-0292-4.
- Jung, C. G. (1928). *Contributions to Analytical Psychology*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full Catastrophe Living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain and illness*. New York: Dell Publishing.

- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Context: Past, Present and Future. *Clinical Psychology Science and Practice, 10*, 144-156.
- Kabat-Zinn, J., & Santorelli, S., (2005). *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Professional Training Manual*. Center for Mindfulness in Medicine, Health Care and Society, University of Massachusetts Medical School.
- McCown, D. Reibel, D. & Micozzi, M. S. (2010). *Teaching Mindfulness: A practical guide for clinicians and educators*. New York: Springer.
- Rycroft-Malone, J., Anderson, R., Crane, R.S., Gibson, A., Gradinger, F., Owen Griffiths, H., Mercer, S., Kuyken, W. (2014). Accessibility and implementation in UK services of an effective depression relapse prevention programme – mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT): ASPIRE study protocol, *Implementation Science 9*:62
- Rycroft-Malone J, Gradinger F, Griffiths HO, Crane R, Gibson A, Mercer S, Kuyken, W (2017) Accessibility and implementation in the UK NHS services of an effective depression relapse prevention programme: learning from mindfulness-based cognitive therapy through a mixed-methods study. *Health Serv Deliv Res 5* (14)
- Santorelli, S. (1999). *Heal Thy Self: Lessons on Mindfulness in Medicine*, New York: Bell Tower.
- Segal, Z. V., Teasdale, J. D., Williams, J. M. G., & Gemar, M. C. (2002a). The mindfulness-based cognitive therapy adherence scale: Inter-rater reliability, adherence to protocol and treatment distinctiveness. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 9*, 131-138.
- Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2002b). *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2013). *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse (Second Edition)*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Sharpless, B. A. & Barber, J. P. (2009). A conceptual and empirical review of the meaning, measurement, development, and teaching of intervention competence in clinical psychology. *Clinical Psychology Review, 29*, 47-56.
- Williams, J.M.G., Crane, R.S., Soulsby, J.S. (2007). *The Mindfulness-based Curriculum in Practice: Summary outline, intentions and rationale for practices*. Bangor and Oxford University, unpublished handout.
- Williams, J.M.G. (2008). Mindfulness, Depression and Modes of Mind. *Cognitive Therapy Research, 32*, 721-733.
- Williams, J.M.G., Fennell, M.J.V., Barnhofer, T., Crane, R.S. & Silverton, S. (2015). *Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy with People at Risk of Suicide*, Guilford.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank:

The teachers from the Center for Mindfulness, Massachusetts, for their vision and practical help, particularly Jon Kabat-Zinn, Saki Santorelli, Melissa Blacker, Ferris Urbanowski and Pam Erdmann. They have been the root of our trainings to teach mindfulness-based interventions through their support, encouragement, trainings, retreats and supervision. They have conveyed to us both the spirit and rigour of what must be asked from teachers of mindfulness-based interventions, and also a clear sense that it is possible to maintain the integrity of this work while making it accessible in mainstream settings in the UK.

Thorsten Barnhoffer, Trish Bartley and Alison Evans for early piloting of the criteria and sharing their experiences.

Michael Chaskalson, Cindy Cooper, David Elias, Eluned Gold, Vanessa Hope, Mariel Jones, Jody Mardula, Sholto Radford, Bethan Roberts, Sarah Silverton, who shared their experiences of using the criteria in December 2010 and who contributed significantly to its refinement.

Students on the postgraduate training programmes who have given permission to use recordings of their teaching for research on the criteria.

I.A. James, I.M. Blackburn & F.K. Reichelt for permission to base the structure of these criteria on the Revised Cognitive Therapy Scale, CTS-R (2001).

Halley Cohen for skilful copyediting.

Assessing mindfulness-based teacher competence

Teacher:

Date of session and session number:

Assessor:

Date of Assessment:

Video recording

Live Observation

MINDFULNESS-BASED INTERVENTIONS: TEACHING ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – SUMMARY SHEET

Domain	Key features (use the following page to offer qualitative feedback)	Incompetent 1	Beginner 2	Advanced Beginner 3	Competent 4	Proficient 5	Advanced 6
Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum	<i>Adherence to curriculum Responsiveness and flexibility in adhering Appropriateness of themes and content Organisation of teacher, room and materials Session flow and pacing</i>						
Relational skills	<i>Authenticity and potency Connection and acceptance Compassion and warmth Curiosity and respect Mutuality</i>						
Embodiment of mindfulness	<i>Present moment focus Present moment responsiveness Steadiness and alertness Attitudinal foundations Person of the teacher</i>						
Guiding mindfulness practices	<i>Language – precise and spacious Key learning for each practice available Elements to consider when guiding</i>						
Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching	<i>Experiential focus Layers within the inquiry process Teaching skills/ conveying learning Fluency</i>						
Holding the group learning environment	<i>Learning container Group development Common humanity Leadership style</i>						

MINDFULNESS-BASED INTERVENTIONS: TEACHING ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – COMMENTARY SHEET

Domain	Teaching strengths	Learning needs
1. Coverage, pacing and organisation of session curriculum		
2. Relational skills		
3. Embodiment of mindfulness		
4. Guiding mindfulness practices		
5. Conveying course themes through interactive inquiry and didactic teaching		
6. Holding the group learning environment		